

Radosevich, Steven D.

From: Lau, Tung S (AU 2863)
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 7:11 AM
To: Radosevich, Steven D.
Subject: RE: 10/809475

thanks for the mail, looks like this case is proper in the current classification, thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Radosevich, Steven D.
Sent: Thursday, June 01, 2006 5:44 PM
To: Lau, Tung S (AU 2863)
Subject: 10/809475

Tung,

I have a case (10/809475) that I was about to start working on that appears to be very similar to a case (10/426657) that you have worked on. Would like me to have this case transferred to you? You have already worked on the similar case that it might have double patenting issues with. Thank you for your time and please let me know if you would like me to transfer this case to you.

Steven D. Radosevich
USPTO-AU-2138
Randolph 2-D-14
571-272-2745

Radosevich, Steven D.

From: Kik, Phallaka
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:40 PM
To: Chiang, Jack; Radosevich, Steven D.
Subject: RE: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Claims 19-20 are ok for 716/7....since you're doing the restriction, claim 19 should be in separate group that claim 20, since they are distinct inventions.

Phallaka Kik
Phallaka Kik
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2825
571-272-1895
Jefferson Building, Suite 5D70

-----Original Message-----

From: Chiang, Jack
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:33 PM
To: Radosevich, Steven D.
Cc: Kik, Phallaka
Subject: FW: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Steven;

Try Paul. (Paul, please help Steve out on this claim 20, thanks).

Jack Chiang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2825
571-272-7483

-----Original Message-----

From: Radosevich, Steven D.
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:30 PM
To: Chiang, Jack
Cc: Radosevich, Steven D.
Subject: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Jack,

I'm working on a dated case 10/809475 in which I think claim 20 is restrictable wherein it would be classified within class 716 and subclass 18. Class 716 is worked on by Art unit 2825 which you are the SPE of, if you could direct me as to whom I should talk to within your art unit to get the OK to restrict this case that would be great. Thanks for your time and I hope to hear back from you soon.

Note: Claim 20 within the case 910/809475) is not directed error correction or detection and as such should not be in class 714. It is directed to an apparatus for designing.

Steven D. Radosevich
USPTO-AU-2138
Randolph 2-D-14
571-272-2745

Radosevich, Steven D.

From: Dinh, Paul (AU2825)
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 3:16 PM
To: Radosevich, Steven D.
Cc: Chiang, Jack
Subject: RE: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Steven,

Claim 20 OK to be classified to 716/1 and/or 716/18

Paul Dinh
AU 2825
571-272-1890

-----Original Message-----

From: Radosevich, Steven D.
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:40 PM
To: Kik, Phallaka
Cc: Dinh, Paul (AU2825)
Subject: RE: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Paul,

I'm working on a dated case 10/809475 in which I think claim 20 is restrictable wherein it would be classified within class 716 and subclass 18. I e-mailed Jack (SPE of 2825) who told me to talk to you. I need to get the OK to restrict this case prior to calling the attorney. If you could get back to me soon that would be great, I'm trying to get this case done this week since its a dated case. Thanks for your time and I hope to hear back from you soon.

Note: Claim 20 within the case 910/809475) is not directed error correction or detection and as such should not be in class 714. It is directed to an apparatus for designing.

Steven D. Radosevich
USPTO-AU-2138
Randolph 2-D-14
571-272-2745

-----Original Message-----

From: Chiang, Jack
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:33 PM
To: Radosevich, Steven D.
Cc: Kik, Phallaka
Subject: FW: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Steven;

Try Paul. (Paul, please help Steve out on this claim 20, thanks).

Jack Chiang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2825
571-272-7483

-----Original Message-----

From: Radosevich, Steven D.
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:30 PM
To: Chiang, Jack
Cc: Radosevich, Steven D.
Subject: Restriction of case 10/8094785

Jack,

I'm working on a dated case 10/809475 in which I think claim 20 is restrictable wherein it would be classified within class 716 and subclass 18. Class 716 is worked on by Art unit 2825 which you are the SPE of, if you could direct me as to whom I should talk to within your art unit to get the OK to restrict this case that would be great. Thanks for your time and I hope to hear back from you soon.

Note: Claim 20 within the case 910/809475) is not directed error correction or detection and as such should not be in class 714. It is directed to an apparatus for designing.

Steven D. Radosevich

USPTO-AU-2138

Randolph 2-D-14

571-272-2745